

6TH



MJSSEYA Supports the Sustainable Development Goals

MACAO JOINT SCHOOL

SCIENCE EXHIBITION

PREPARATION COMMITTEE

第六屆澳門聯校科學展覽籌備委員會

第六屆  
澳門聯校科學展覽  
計劃書設計比賽  
評分標準

6<sup>th</sup> MJSSE  
Project Proposal Design Competition  
Evaluation Criteria

評分標準	分數
<p>1. 科學技術含量</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>運用較多科學技術；</li> <li>所運用的科學技術難度較高；</li> <li>所運用的科學原理為正確的。</li> </ul>	<p>滿分：25 分                      優良：21 分或以上                      及格：15 分或以上</p>
<p>2. 實用性與用戶價值</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>提出的想法能夠解決實際的生活問題；</li> <li>能夠產生積極效果；</li> <li>能有效解決實際問題或提升用戶體驗；</li> <li>具備明確應用場景（如酒店、旅遊、健康服務等）。</li> </ul>	<p>滿分：25 分                      優良：21 分或以上                      及格：15 分或以上</p>
<p>3. 相關性與 SDG 在地影響力</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>提出的想法符合主題“智慧康旅”；</li> <li>能對應聯合國永續發展目標；</li> <li>具備實際社會影響力或永續發展價值。</li> </ul>	<p>滿分：20 分                      優良：17 分或以上                      及格：12 分或以上</p>
<p>4. 創新性</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>提出的想法是原創的；</li> <li>沒有一件現存的產品能產生類似的結果或改進一件現存的產品。</li> </ul>	<p>滿分：15 分                      優良：13 分或以上                      及格：9 分或以上</p>
<p>5. 可行性</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>只存在少量合理的假設；</li> <li>沒有明顯的副作用；</li> <li>所需資源應是現實的、合理的；</li> <li>用現存的科學技術能較容易製造並使用。</li> </ul>	<p>滿分：15 分                      優良：13 分或以上                      及格：9 分或以上</p>

\*藍色標註項目為本年度新增的評分細項。

Criteria and Descriptions	Score
<p><b>1. Scientific and technical content</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A variety of scientific knowledge and technology can be applied;</li> <li>The applied technology is hardly to be imitate;</li> <li>The scientific principles are accurate.</li> </ul>	<p>Full Score: 25                      Top: 21 or above                      Pass: 15 or above</p>
<p><b>2. Effectiveness and User Value</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The idea is able to improve or solve the living problems;</li> <li>The product has no negative impacts;</li> <li><b>It can effectively solve practical problems or improve user experience;</b></li> <li><b>It has clearly defined application scenarios (such as hotels, tourism, health services, etc.).</b></li> </ul>	<p>Full Score: 25                      Top: 21 or above                      Pass: 15 or above</p>
<p><b>3. Relevance and SDG Local Influence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed ideas is related to the topic "Smart Wellness &amp; Tourism";</li> <li><b>It can align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals;</b></li> <li><b>It has real social influence or sustainable development value.</b></li> </ul>	<p>Full Score: 20                      Top: 17 or above                      Pass: 12 or above</p>
<p><b>4. Creativity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed idea is original;</li> <li>No existing product can achieve similar results or improve upon an existing product.</li> </ul>	<p>Full Score: 15                      Top: 13 or above                      Pass: 9 or above</p>
<p><b>5. Feasibility</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only a few assumptions are made;</li> <li>There are no significant side effects;</li> <li>The amount of resources is realistic and reasonable;</li> <li>The product can be made easily by the existing scientific technology.</li> </ul>	<p>Full Score: 15                      Top: 13 or above                      Pass: 9 or above</p>

*\*Items marked in blue are new scoring items added this year.*